arXiv:1102.1494v3 [math.DG] 25 May 2012

A TWISTED MOMENT MAP AND ITS EQUIVARIANCE

TAKASHI HASHIMOTO

Abstract. Let G be a linear connected complex reductive Lie group. The purpose of this paper is to give explicit symplectic isomorphisms from holomorphic twisted cotangent bundles of the generalized flag varieties of G onto the coadjoint orbits of semisimple elements under G, where the transition functions of the holomorphic twisted cotangent bundle are given by affine transformations of the fibers instead of linear transformations. Moreover, we show that the isomorphisms are G-equivariant.

1. Introduction

The reader will agree that cotangent bundles of smooth manifolds and coadjoint orbits of Lie groups provide basic and important examples of symplectic manifolds. The purpose of this paper is to give explicit symplectic isomorphisms from holomorphic twisted cotangent bundles of the complex generalized flag varieties onto the complex coadjoint orbits of semisimple elements, where the transition functions of the holomorphic twisted cotangent bundle are given by affine transformations of the fibers instead of linear transformations.

More precisely, let G denote a linear connected complex reductive Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. We fix a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, and consider a nonzero element λ of $\mathfrak{h}^*$, the dual space of $\mathfrak{h}$. Under the assumption that the isotropy subalgebra of λ in $\mathfrak{g}$ is distinct from $\mathfrak{g}$, take a parabolic subgroup Q of G whose Levi factor is the isotropy subgroup of λ in G, and let $\{U_\sigma\}_{\sigma\in W/W_\lambda}$ be the open covering of the flag variety G/Q indexed by W/W_λ (see (2.10) for details). Then one can construct a holomorphic isomorphism $\mu_{\lambda;\sigma}$ from the cotangent bundle T^*U_σ into the complex coadjoint orbit $\mathit{\Omega}_\lambda := G\cdot\lambda$ for each σ. Note that U_σ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^n$ and that T^*U_σ is trivial, i.e., $T^*U_\sigma \simeq U_\sigma\times\mathbb{C}^n$ with $n=\dim(G/Q)$ for each σ. We shall see that the isomorphisms $\{\mu_{\lambda;\sigma}\}_{\sigma\in W/W_\lambda}$ are closely related to the triangular decomposition of G (or rather, of $\mathfrak{g}$).

Furthermore, the isomorphisms $\{\mu_{\lambda;\sigma}\}$ acquire equivariance under G if we let G act on the trivial bundles by affine transformation instead of the canonical one. Since each coset of W/W_λ is represented by an element $\dot\sigma\in G$, we can glue together the trivial bundles $\{T^*U_\sigma\}_\sigma$ by transition functions induced from the affine action of G to form a holomorphic twisted cotangent bundle which we denote by $T^*(G/Q)_\lambda$ in this paper. Since the twisted cotangent bundle is

---

Date: January 12, 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53D20, 22F30.

Key words and phrases. twisted moment map, G-equivariance, holomorphic twisted cotangent bundle, complex coadjoint orbit, symplectic isomorphism.

locally isomorphic to the (standard) holomorphic cotangent bundle $T^*(G/Q)$ by its construction, we can define local isomorphisms from $T^*(G/Q)_\lambda|_{U_\sigma}$ into $\varOmega_\lambda$ by the same formulae as $\{\mu_{\lambda;\sigma}\}$, which satisfy the compatibility condition

$$\mu_{\lambda;\sigma}|_{\varpi^{-1}(U_\sigma\cap U_\tau)} = \mu_{\lambda;\tau}|_{\varpi^{-1}(U_\sigma\cap U_\tau)}$$

for σ and $\tau \in W/W_\lambda$, where $\varpi : T^*(G/Q)_\lambda \to G/Q$ is the projection. By patching together the maps $\{\mu_{\lambda;\sigma}\}_\sigma$, we obtain an holomorphic isomorphism μ_λ from the holomorphic twisted cotangent bundle onto the complex coadjoint orbit.

We remark that when $\lambda = 0$ the transition functions of the twisted cotangent bundle $T^*(G/Q)_\lambda$, which are affine transformations of the fibers as mentioned above, reduces to the transition functions of the cotangent bundle $T^*(G/Q)$, which are linear transformations of the fibers, and that the map μ_λ reduces to the moment map from $T^*(G/Q)$ into $\mathfrak{g}^*$. In this sense, the isomorphism μ_λ can be regarded as a twisted moment map (see [6] and [7]).

A prototype of the isomorphism μ_λ was obtained in the process of proving the formula for the generating function of the principal symbols of the invariant differential operators that play an essential role in the Capelli identities in the case of Hermitian symmetric spaces (see [4] and [2]). Namely, let $(G_\mathbb{R}, K_\mathbb{R})$ be a classical Hermitian symmetric pair of noncompact type such that $G_\mathbb{R}$ is a real form of G, and assume that λ is proportional to the fundamental weight corresponding to the unique noncompact simple root. Then the symbols of the differential operators $\pi_\lambda(X)$, $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, naturally constitute a holomorphic isomorphism from $T^*(G_\mathbb{R}/K_\mathbb{R})$ into $\mathfrak{g}^* \simeq \mathfrak{g}$, where π_λ denotes the representation of the complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ induced from that of $G_\mathbb{R}$, the so-called holomorphic discrete series representation. Note that the Hermitian symmetric case corresponds to the case where the flag variety G/Q is Grassmannian.

Moreover, our twisted cotangent bundle possesses a holomorphic symplectic form which is locally expressed by the same formula as that of the canonical holomorphic symplectic form on the holomorphic cotangent bundle. Then one can show that the isomorphism μ_λ preserves the canonical symplectic forms on the holomorphic twisted cotangent bundle and on the complex coadjoint orbit. Thus, the holomorphic twisted cotangent bundle of the complex generalized flag variety is isomorphic to the complex coadjoint orbit as holomorphic symplectic manifold.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we first review the Hermitian symmetric case by a basic example, then construct holomorphic local isomorphisms $\mu_{\lambda;\sigma}$ from cotangent bundle T^*U_σ into the coadjoint orbit for $\sigma \in W/W_\lambda$. In Section 3, we define an action of G on the cotangent bundle by affine transformation, and show that $\mu_{\lambda;\sigma}$ is G-equivariant. Replacing the canonical transition functions by the ones induced from the affine action of G, we construct the holomorphic twisted cotangent bundle mentioned above, and show that the maps $\{\mu_{\lambda;\sigma}\}_\sigma$ define the isomorphism μ_λ from the twisted cotangent bundle onto the complex coadjoint orbit. Finally, we prove that the isomorphism μ_λ is symplectic.

In what follows, whenever there is no risk of confusion, we shall agree that local coordinates themselves represent the points of manifolds under considerations, as usual.

2. Twisted moment map

Throughout, let G be a linear connected complex reductive Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. We fix a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ and denote the dual space of $\mathfrak{h}$ by $\mathfrak{h}^*$. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ be the root space decomposition with Δ a root system of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{h}$. Choosing a positive root system $\Delta^+ \subset \Delta$, we set $\mathfrak{b} := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$. We take a nonzero root vector E_α from $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in \Delta$.

2.1. **Hermitian symmetric space.** In this subsection, let $(G_{\mathbb{R}}, K_{\mathbb{R}})$ denote a classical Hermitian symmetric pair of noncompact type. Let G and K be the complexifications of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $K_{\mathbb{R}}$ respectively and Q a maximal parabolic subgroup of G whose Levi factor is K. Let $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{q}$ be the Lie algebras of K and Q respectively. Denoting by $\mathfrak{u}^-$ the nilradical of $\mathfrak{q}$ and by $\mathfrak{u}$ its opposite, we put $U := \exp \mathfrak{u}$ and $U^- := \exp \mathfrak{u}^-$.

Consider a holomorphic character $\lambda : Q \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ whose differential restricted on $\mathfrak{h}$ is proportional to the fundamental weight corresponding to the unique noncompact simple root. Let us denote by $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ the one-dimensional representation $(\lambda, \mathbb{C})$ of Q. Then one can construct an irreducible unitary representation $(\pi_\lambda, \mathcal{H}_\lambda)$ of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$, the so-called holomorphic discrete series representation, by Borel-Weil theory as follows. Let $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ be the pull-back by the open embedding $G_{\mathbb{R}}/K_{\mathbb{R}} \hookrightarrow G/Q$ of the holomorphic line bundle $G \times_Q \mathbb{C}_\lambda$ associated to the principal bundle $G \to G/Q$. The Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\lambda$ consists of square-integrable holomorphic sections for $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$, which we identify with the space of holomorphic functions f on the open subset $G_{\mathbb{R}}Q \subset G$ that satisfy the following conditions

$$f(xq) = \lambda(q)^{-1} f(x) \quad (x \in G_{\mathbb{R}}Q, q \in Q) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \int_{G_{\mathbb{R}}} |f(g)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}g < \infty,$$

where $\mathrm{d}g$ denotes the Haar measure on $G_{\mathbb{R}}$. Now the irreducible unitary representation π_λ of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ is defined by

$$\pi_\lambda(g) f(x) := f(g^{-1}x) \quad \text{for} \quad f \in \mathcal{H}_\lambda.$$

This induces a complex linear representation of $\mathfrak{g}$, which we also denote by π_λ.

Take a basis $\{X_i\}$ for $\mathfrak{g}$, and its dual basis $\{X_i^\vee\}$, i.e. the basis for $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfying that

$$B(X_i, X_j^\vee) = \delta_{ij},$$

where B is the nondegenerate invariant symmetric bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}$. For $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ given, denoting by $\sigma_\lambda(X)(x, v^*)$ the symbol of the differential operator $\pi_\lambda(X)$ at $x \in G_{\mathbb{R}}/K_{\mathbb{R}}$ with cotangent vector $v^* \in T_x^*(G_{\mathbb{R}}/K_{\mathbb{R}})$, we define

$$\mu_{\lambda;0}(x, v^*) := \sum_i \sigma_\lambda(X_i^\vee)(x, v^*) \otimes X_i.$$

Note that $\mu_{\lambda;0}$ is independent of the basis $\{X_i\}$ chosen.

Recall from [5], for example, that for a Lie group A and an A-manifold M, the cotangent bundle T^*M is a symplectic A-manifold in the canonical way. Namely, the Lie group A acts on T^*M by

$$g.(x, v^*) = (g.x, (g^{-1})^* v^*) \tag{2.1}$$

for $x \in M$ and $v^* \in T_x^*M$, where $(g^{-1})^*$ denotes the transpose map of the differential $(g^{-1})_* : T_{g.x}M \to T_xM$ induced from the translation by g^{-1} on the base manifold M.

Then, for $X \in \mathfrak{a} := \mathrm{Lie}\,(A)$, the moment map defined on the cotangent bundle $\mu : T^*M \to \mathfrak{a}^*$ is given by

$$\langle \mu(x, v^*), X\rangle = v^*(X_M(x)) \qquad (x \in M, v^* \in T_x^*M), \tag{2.2}$$

where $\mathfrak{a}^*$ denotes the dual of $\mathfrak{a}$, $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ the canonical pairing between $\mathfrak{a}^*$ and $\mathfrak{a}$, and X_M the vector field on M generated by X:

$$X_M(x)\,\varphi = \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} \varphi(\exp(-tX).x) \tag{2.3}$$

for functions φ defined around $x \in M$.

It follows from (2.2) and (2.3) that the principal part of $\mu_{\lambda;0}$ is identical to the moment map $\mu : T^*(G_{\mathbb{R}}/K_{\mathbb{R}}) \to \mathfrak{g}^*$ composed by the isomorphism $\mathfrak{g}^* \simeq \mathfrak{g}$ via the bilinear form B, which we also denote by μ. Here $T^*(G_{\mathbb{R}}/K_{\mathbb{R}})$ denotes the holomorphic cotangent bundle of the Hermitian symmetric space $G_{\mathbb{R}}/K_{\mathbb{R}}$. Furthermore, the total symbol $\mu_{\lambda;0}$ can be regarded as a variant of the twisted moment map $\tilde{\mu}_\lambda : T^*(G_{\mathbb{R}}/K_{\mathbb{R}}) \to \mathfrak{g}^* \simeq \mathfrak{g}$ due to Rossmann (see §7 of [7]). In fact, the difference $\tilde{\mu}_\lambda - \mu$, which is denoted by λ_x in loc.cit. with $x \in G/Q$, can be expressed as $\tilde{\mu}_\lambda - \mu = \mathrm{Ad}(g)\lambda^\vee$, or

$$\tilde{\mu}_\lambda(x, v^*) = \mathrm{Ad}(g)\lambda^\vee + \mu(x, v^*),$$

where $\lambda^\vee \in \mathfrak{g}$ corresponds to $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ under the isomorphism $\mathfrak{g}^* \simeq \mathfrak{g}$ via the bilinear form B, and g is an element of a compact real form G_u of G such that $x = g.e_Q$ with e_Q the origin of G/Q. Now, if x is in the open subset $G_{\mathbb{R}}/K_{\mathbb{R}} \subset G/Q$, one can choose a unique element u_x from a certain open subset of U so that $x = u_x.e_Q$, instead of g from G_u. Then, one can immediately verify that

$$\mu_{\lambda;0}(x, v^*) = \mathrm{Ad}(u_x)\lambda^\vee + \mu(x, v^*).$$

Moreover, the following relation holds:

$$\mathrm{Ad}(u_x^{-1})\mu_{\lambda;0}(x, v^*) = \mu_{\lambda;0}(\dot{e}, u_x^*\, v^*) \tag{2.4}$$

(see [2]).

Example 2.1. Let $(G_{\mathbb{R}}, K_{\mathbb{R}}) = (\mathrm{SU}(p, q), \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{U}(p)\times\mathrm{U}(q))$ $(p \geqslant q)$, where we realize $\mathrm{SU}(p, q)$ as

$$\mathrm{SU}(p, q) = \{g \in \mathrm{SL}_{p+q}(\mathbb{C});\, {}^t\bar{g} I_{p,q} g = I_{p,q}\}$$

with $I_{p,q} = \begin{bmatrix} 1_p & \\ & -1_q \end{bmatrix}$. Then we take K, Q to be given by

$$K = \left\{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{smallmatrix}\right] \in \mathrm{SL}_{p+q}(\mathbb{C});\, a \in \mathrm{GL}_p(\mathbb{C}), d \in \mathrm{GL}_q(\mathbb{C})\right\},$$
$$Q = \left\{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a & 0 \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right] \in \mathrm{SL}_{p+q}(\mathbb{C});\, a \in \mathrm{GL}_p(\mathbb{C}), d \in \mathrm{GL}_q(\mathbb{C}), c \in \mathrm{Mat}_{q,p}(\mathbb{C})\right\}, \tag{2.5}$$

respectively. We can assume that the holomorphic character $\lambda : Q \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ in this case is given by

$$\lambda(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}) = (\det d)^{-s} \tag{2.6}$$

for some integer s.

Note that $G_\mathbb{R}/K_\mathbb{R}$ is isomorphic to the bounded symmetric domain given by

$$\left\{ z = (z^{ij}) \in \mathrm{Mat}_{p,q}(\mathbb{C}); 1_q - {}^t\bar{z}z \text{ is positive definite} \right\}.$$

Therefore, we can take holomorphic coordinates $(z^{ij}, \xi_{ij})_{i=1,\dots,p;j=1,\dots,q}$ around an arbitrary point (x, v^*) on the whole $T^*(G_\mathbb{R}/K_\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$v^* = \sum_{i,j} \xi_{ij} \, \mathrm{d}z^{ij}.$$

Using the fact that $\mathfrak{u}$ is abelian, it is easy to show that the right-hand side of (2.4) equals

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{q}{p+q} s 1_p & 0 \\ -{}^t\xi & -\frac{p}{p+q} s 1_q \end{bmatrix} \tag{2.7}$$

(Theorem 4.9, [2]), where we denote the complex $p \times q$-matrix (ξ_{ij}) by ξ. Thus, if $s \neq 0$ and if we put

$$w := -s^{-1}\,{}^t\xi \quad \text{and} \quad u_w^- := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ w & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{2.8}$$

then it is immediate to show that (2.7) is equal to $\mathrm{Ad}(u_w^-)\lambda^\vee$. Hence

$$\mu_{\lambda;0}(x, v^*) = \mathrm{Ad}(u_x)\,\mathrm{Ad}(u_w^-)\lambda^\vee.$$

This yields an injective holomorphic map $\mu_{\lambda;0} : T^*(G_\mathbb{R}/K_\mathbb{R}) \to \mathfrak{g}$, which is a prototype of our main object.

Observe that there is no need to restrict the domain of $\mu_{\lambda;0}$ to $T^*(G_\mathbb{R}/K_\mathbb{R})$. Indeed, it naturally extends to the holomorphic cotangent bundle of the open subset $UQ/Q \subset G/Q$ if we do not take the real form $G_\mathbb{R}$ into account. Furthermore, we can take an arbitrary $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$; we shall carry out this extended case in the next subsection.

2.2. **Generalized flag variety.** Let G be a linear connected complex reductive Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, as above. Consider a nonzero $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, which is not necessarily the same as in the previous subsection. Put

$$\mathfrak{l} := \mathfrak{g}(\lambda) = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}; \mathrm{ad}^*(X)\lambda = 0\};$$

we assume that $\mathfrak{g}(\lambda)$ is distinct from $\mathfrak{g}$ throughout. Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ containing $\mathfrak{b}$ whose Levi part is $\mathfrak{l}$. We assume that $\mathfrak{q}$ is not necessarily maximal. Let $\mathfrak{u}^-$ be the nilradical of $\mathfrak{q}$, and $\mathfrak{u}$ the opposite of $\mathfrak{u}^-$. Our assumption on $\mathfrak{q}$ implies that the subalgebras $\mathfrak{u}^-$ and $\mathfrak{u}$ need not be abelian. At any rate, we have the following decompositions:

$$\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{u}^-, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{u} \oplus \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{u}^-. \tag{2.9}$$

Denote by $\Delta(\mathfrak{u})$ the subset of Δ^+ such that $\mathfrak{u} = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Delta(\mathfrak{u})} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ and $\mathfrak{u}^- = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Delta(\mathfrak{u})} \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$.

Let $L := G(\lambda) = \{g \in G; \mathrm{Ad}^*(g)\lambda = \lambda\}$, the isotropy subgroup of λ in G. Denoting the analytic subgroup of $\mathfrak{u}^-$ (resp. $\mathfrak{u}$) by U^- (resp. U), let us introduce holomorphic coordinates $z = (z^\alpha)_{\alpha\in\Delta(\mathfrak{u})}$ on U and $w = (w_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\Delta(\mathfrak{u})}$ on U^- by parametrizing elements $u \in U$ and $u^- \in U^-$ as

$$u = \exp \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta(\mathfrak{u})} z^\alpha E_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad u^- = \exp \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta(\mathfrak{u})} w_\alpha E_{-\alpha},$$

which we denote by u_z and u_w^- respectively.

Put $Q = LU^- = U^-L$, and let $T^*(G/Q)$ denote the *holomorphic* cotangent bundle of the flag variety G/Q and

$$p : G \to G/Q,$$
$$\pi : T^*(G/Q) \to G/Q$$

the canonical projections. Fixing a representative $\dot\sigma \in G$ of each $\sigma \in W/W_\lambda$ once and for all, let us identify σ with $\dot\sigma$, where W_λ denotes the isotropy subgroup of λ in the Weyl group W. Take the open covering $\{U_\sigma\}$ of G/Q:

$$G/Q = \bigcup_{\sigma\in W/W_\lambda} U_\sigma \quad \text{with} \quad U_\sigma := \sigma UQ/Q. \tag{2.10}$$

Since any element x of U_σ is expressed as

$$x = \sigma u.e_Q \tag{2.11}$$

for a unique $u \in U$, one can introduce holomorphic local coordinates $z_\sigma = ({z_\sigma}^\alpha)_{\alpha\in\Delta(\mathfrak{u})}$ on U_σ by

$$u = \exp \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta(\mathfrak{u})} {z_\sigma}^\alpha E_\alpha. \tag{2.12}$$

We denote the element u in (2.12) by u_{z_σ} in what follows. Then, every cotangent vector $v^* \in T_x^*(G/Q)$ can be written as

$$v^* = \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta(\mathfrak{u})} \xi_{\sigma\alpha}\, \mathrm{d}{z_\sigma}^\alpha,$$

which provides holomorphic coordinates (z_σ, ξ_σ) on $\pi^{-1}(U_\sigma)$ with $\xi_\sigma = (\xi_{\sigma\alpha})_{\alpha\in\Delta(\mathfrak{u})}$. In other words, one obtains a local triviality

$$\phi_\sigma : \pi^{-1}(U_\sigma) \xrightarrow{\sim} U_\sigma \times \mathbb{C}^n, \quad (x, v^*) \mapsto (z_\sigma, \xi_\sigma), \tag{2.13}$$

with $n = \#\Delta(\mathfrak{u}) = \dim(G/Q)$ for each $\sigma \in W/W_\lambda$. In the sequel, however, if (z_e, ξ_e) is in $\pi^{-1}(U_e)$ i.e., if σ happens to equal the identity element e, we suppress the subscripts and just write (z, ξ) for brevity.

Remark 2.2. For $\sigma \in W/W_\lambda$ given, we have a unique decomposition $p^{-1}(U_\sigma) = \sigma UQ = \sigma UU^-L$. Namely, any $g \in p^{-1}(U_\sigma)$ uniquely factorizes into a product

$$g = \sigma uu^-t \quad (u \in U, u^- \in U^-, t \in L), \tag{2.14}$$

which plays a role throughout the paper, as we shall see.

Henceforth, let us fix a $\sigma \in W/W_\lambda$ and discuss inside the product bundle $T^*U_\sigma = \pi^{-1}(U_\sigma)$ until the end of this section.

Lemma 2.3. *For* $(z_\sigma, \xi_\sigma) \in T^*U_\sigma$ *given, there correspond unique* $u_{z_\sigma} \in U$ *and* $u^-_{w_\sigma} \in U^-$ *such that*

$$z_\sigma = \sigma u_{z_\sigma}.e_Q \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_\sigma = -\langle \mathrm{Ad}^*(u^-_{w_\sigma})\lambda, u_{z_\sigma}^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}u_{z_\sigma}\rangle, \tag{2.15}$$

where we identify[1] $\xi_\sigma = (\xi_{\sigma\alpha})_{\alpha\in\Delta(\mathfrak{u})}$ *with* $\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta(\mathfrak{u})} \xi_{\sigma\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}z_\sigma{}^\alpha$*, which we abbreviate* $\xi_\sigma\,\mathrm{d}z_\sigma$*.*

Proof. It is trivial that such $u_{z_\sigma} \in U$ uniquely exists. If we identify $\mathfrak{g}^*$ with $\mathfrak{g}$ via the nondegenerate invariant symmetric bilinear form B on $\mathfrak{g}$, the second formula of (2.15) can be rewritten as

$$B(\mathrm{Ad}(u^-_{w_\sigma})\lambda^\vee, u_{z_\sigma}^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}u_{z_\sigma}) = -\xi_\sigma,$$

where $\lambda^\vee \in \mathfrak{h}$ corresponds to $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ under the identification.

Now, since $u_{z_\sigma}^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}u_{z_\sigma}$ is a 1-form taking values in $\mathfrak{u}$, the nondegeneracy of $B|_{\mathfrak{u}^-\times\mathfrak{u}}$ implies that there exists a unique $Y \in \mathfrak{u}^-$ satisfying

$$B(Y, u_{z_\sigma}^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}u_{z_\sigma}) = -\xi_\sigma.$$

Thus it suffices to show that there exists a unique $u^-_{w_\sigma} \in U^-$ such that

$$\mathrm{Ad}(u^-_{w_\sigma})\lambda^\vee = \lambda^\vee + Y \tag{2.16}$$

since $\mathrm{Ad}(u^-_{w_\sigma})\lambda^\vee$ is in $\mathfrak{l}\oplus\mathfrak{u}^-$ with its $\mathfrak{l}$-component equal to $\lambda^\vee$. Parametrizing $u^-_{w_\sigma}$ as

$$u^-_{w_\sigma} = \exp \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta(\mathfrak{u})} w_{\sigma\alpha}\,E_{-\alpha}, \tag{2.17}$$

one can determine the coefficients $w_{\sigma\alpha}$ inductively from (2.16) with respect to the height of α.

This completes the proof. □ □

Example 2.4. Let us consider the case where $G = \mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ and a regular semisimple $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i\epsilon_i \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, i.e., with $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ if $i \neq j$. Then $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{g}(\lambda)$ is equal to $\mathfrak{h}$, the Cartan subalgebra consisting of all diagonal matrices in $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_3(\mathbb{C})$, $\mathfrak{q}$ the Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}$ of all lower triangular matrices in $\mathfrak{g}$, and $\mathfrak{u}^-$ (resp. $\mathfrak{u}$) the nilpotent subalgebra of all strictly lower (resp. upper) matrices in $\mathfrak{g}$.

We restrict ourselves to the case where $\sigma = e$ since the other cases are similar. For $(z, \xi) \in T^*U_e$ with $z = (z^{i,j})_{1\leqslant i<j\leqslant 3}$ and $\xi\,\mathrm{d}z = \sum_{1\leqslant i<j\leqslant 3} \xi_{i,j}\,\mathrm{d}z^{i,j}$, if one writes

$$u_z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & z^{1,2} & z^{1,3} + \frac{1}{2}z^{1,2}z^{2,3} \\ & 1 & z^{2,3} \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad u^-_w = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ w_{1,2} & 1 & \\ w_{1,3} + \frac{1}{2}w_{1,2}w_{2,3} & w_{2,3} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

---

[1] We shall sometimes use this convention throughout the paper.

as in (2.12) and (2.17), the second formula of (2.15) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{1,2}w_{1,2} + \frac{1}{2}z^{2,3}\left(\lambda_{1,3}w_{1,3} + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{1,2} - \lambda_{2,3})w_{1,2}w_{2,3}\right) &= -\xi_{1,2},\\
\lambda_{2,3}w_{2,3} - \frac{1}{2}z^{1,2}\left(\lambda_{1,3}w_{1,3} + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{1,2} - \lambda_{2,3})w_{1,2}w_{2,3}\right) &= -\xi_{2,3},\\
\lambda_{1,3}w_{1,3} + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{1,2} - \lambda_{2,3})w_{1,2}w_{2,3} &= -\xi_{1,3},
\end{aligned}$$

from which it immediately follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
w_{1,2} &= \frac{1}{\lambda_{1,2}}\Bigl(-\xi_{1,2} + \frac{1}{2}\xi_{1,3}z^{2,3}\Bigr),\\
w_{2,3} &= \frac{1}{\lambda_{2,3}}\Bigl(-\xi_{2,3} - \frac{1}{2}\xi_{1,3}z^{1,2}\Bigr),\\
w_{1,3} &= \frac{1}{\lambda_{1,3}}\left(-\xi_{1,3} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\lambda_{1,2} - \lambda_{2,3}}{\lambda_{1,2}\lambda_{2,3}}\Bigl(-\xi_{1,2} + \frac{1}{2}\xi_{1,3}z^{2,3}\Bigr)\Bigl(-\xi_{2,3} - \frac{1}{2}\xi_{1,3}z^{1,2}\Bigr)\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where we put $\lambda_{i,j} := \lambda_i - \lambda_j$ for $i \neq j$.

We remark that one can verify that the relation (2.4) holds if one constructs an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ that is induced from the character $\lambda : Q \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ by Borel-Weil theory as in the previous subsection.

Put $\Omega_\lambda := G \cdot \lambda = \{\mathrm{Ad}^*(g)\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*; g \in G\}$, the coadjoint orbit of λ under the complex Lie group G. It is canonically isomorphic to G/L, and we denote by p_λ the canonical surjection

$$p_\lambda : G \to \Omega_\lambda, \quad g \mapsto \mathrm{Ad}^*(g)\lambda.$$

Definition 2.5. By Lemma 2.3 above, one can define a holomorphic map

$$\mu_{\lambda;\sigma} : T^*U_\sigma \to \Omega_\lambda \quad \text{by} \quad \mu_{\lambda;\sigma}(z_\sigma, \xi_\sigma) := \mathrm{Ad}^*(\sigma u_{z_\sigma} u^-_{w_\sigma})\lambda, \tag{2.18}$$

where $u_{z_\sigma} \in U$ and $u^-_{w_\sigma} \in U^-$ are the unique elements corresponding to $(z_\sigma, \xi_\sigma) \in T^*U_\sigma$ determined by the relation (2.15). Note in particular that $\mu_{\lambda;\sigma}$ is injective.

Remark 2.6. (i) If $g \in G$ satisfies that

$$\mu_{\lambda;\sigma}(z_\sigma, \xi_\sigma) = \mathrm{Ad}^*(g)\lambda$$

for $(z_\sigma, \xi_\sigma) \in T^*U_\sigma$, then there exists an element $t \in L$ such that $g = \sigma u_{z_\sigma} u^-_{w_\sigma} t$. The correspondence $(z_\sigma, \xi_\sigma) \mapsto g = \sigma u_{z_\sigma} u^-_{w_\sigma} t$ can be regarded as a section for the fibration $p^{-1}(U_\sigma) \to T^*U_\sigma$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & p^{-1}(U_\sigma) \arrow[d, "p_\lambda"] \\
T^*U_\sigma \arrow[ur, dotted, "g"] \arrow[r, "\sim", "\mu_{\lambda;\sigma}"'] & \mu_{\lambda;\sigma}(T^*U_\sigma).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Now, let us define a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued 1-form θ on G by

$$\theta_g := g^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}g \quad (g \in G). \tag{2.19}$$

By abuse of notation, we use the same symbol θ_g to denote the pull-back of the 1-form given in (2.19) by the local section $g : T^*U_\sigma \to p^{-1}(U_\sigma)$. Then the second formula of (2.15) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_\sigma &= -\langle \lambda, \theta_{\sigma a}\rangle \\ &= -\langle \lambda, \theta_a\rangle,\end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

where we set $a := u_{z_\sigma} u^-_{w_\sigma}$ for brevity. In fact, since

$$(\sigma a)^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}(\sigma a) = a^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}a = \mathrm{Ad}(u^-_{w_\sigma})^{-1}(u_{z_\sigma}^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}u_{z_\sigma}) + u^{-\,-1}_{w_\sigma}\,\mathrm{d}u^-_{w_\sigma},$$

the relation (2.20) follows from the fact that the second term is a 1-form taking values in $\mathfrak{u}^-$.

(ii) If one restricts ξ given in (2.15) or (2.20) to the *smooth* cotangent bundle of $G_\mathbb{R}/K_\mathbb{R} \subset U_e$ then one can obtain the reproducing kernel of the irreducible unitary representation $(\pi_\lambda, \mathcal{H}_\lambda)$ of $G_\mathbb{R}$ when $(G_\mathbb{R}, K_\mathbb{R})$ is a Hermitian symmetric pair (see [3] for details).

3. G-EQUIVARIANCE

3.1. **Local G-action.** First let us consider such elements $g \in G$ that map U_e onto itself.

Definition 3.1. For $(z, \xi) \in T^*U_e$, let $u_z \in U$ and $u^-_w \in U^-$ be the unique elements determined by (2.15). If $g \in G$ satisfies that $g.z \in U_e$, or equivalently, that $gu_z \in p^{-1}(U_e)$, then by Remark 2.2, one can write

$$gu_z = u_{g.z}u^-_{g;z}t_{g;z} \quad \text{with} \quad u_{g.z} \in U, u^-_{g;z} \in U^-, t_{g;z} \in L,$$

from which it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}gu_z u^-_w &= u_{g.z}u^-_{g;z}t_{g;z} \cdot u^-_w \\ &= u_{g.z} \cdot u^-_{g;z}(t_{g;z}u^-_w t^{-1}_{g;z}) \cdot t_{g;z}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

In particular, we see that $gu_z u^-_w$ lies in UU^-L, and that its U- and L-components are identical to those of gu_z respectively since $t_{g;z}u^-_w t^{-1}_{g;z}$ is in the subgroup U^-.

In view of (2.15) and (3.1), it is natural to define a cotangent vector $\psi_{\lambda;e}(g)\xi$ by

$$\psi_{\lambda;e}(g)\xi = -\langle \mathrm{Ad}^*(u^-_{g;z;w})\lambda,\ u^{-1}_{g.z}\,\mathrm{d}u_{g.z}\rangle, \tag{3.2}$$

where we set $u^-_{g;z;w} := u^-_{g;z}(t_{g;z}u^-_w t^{-1}_{g;z})$ for brevity. If we put $a := u_z u^-_w$, then, as we noted in Remark 2.6, the right-hand side of (3.2) can be written as

$$\psi_{\lambda;e}(g)\xi = -\langle \lambda, \theta_{gat^{-1}_{g;z}}\rangle \tag{3.3}$$

$$= -\langle \lambda, \theta_{ga}\rangle + \langle \lambda, \mathrm{d}t_{g;z}t^{-1}_{g;z}\rangle$$

$$= (g^{-1})^*\xi + \langle \lambda, \mathrm{d}t_{g;z}t^{-1}_{g;z}\rangle \tag{3.4}$$

since $\theta_{ga} = (g^{-1})^*\theta_a$, where $(g^{-1})^*$ denotes the transpose map of the differential $(g^{-1})_* : T_{g.z}U_e \to T_zU_e$ induced from the translation by $g^{-1} \in G$ on U_e.

Note that (3.2) implies that $\psi_{\lambda;e}(g)\xi$ belongs to $T^*_{g.z}(G/Q)$ since the decomposition (3.1) is holomorphic, and that (3.4) reduces to the canonical G-action on the cotangent bundle given by (2.1) when $\lambda = 0$. Furthermore, it follows that the second term in (3.4) is an exact 1-form since $t_{g;z}$ is an element of $G(\lambda)$

Proposition 3.2. *Let $(z, \xi) \in T^*U_e$. For $g, h \in G$ such that both $h.z$ and $gh.z$ are in U_e, we have*

$$\psi_{\lambda;e}(g)(\psi_{\lambda;e}(h)\xi) = \psi_{\lambda;e}(gh)\xi. \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. We use (3.3) to prove the proposition. Let $u_z \in U$ and $u^-_w \in U^-$ be the unique elements determined by (2.15) and let $a = u_z u^-_w$. Since both hu_z and $gu_{h.z}$ are in $p^{-1}(U_e)$ by assumption, they decompose as

$$hu_z = u_{h.z} u^-_{h;z} t_{h;z} \in UU^-L, \tag{3.6}$$

$$gu_{h.z} = u_{gh.z} u^-_{g;hz} t_{g;hz} \in UU^-L. \tag{3.7}$$

Then, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} g(hu_z) &= g(u_{h.z} u^-_{h;z} t_{h;z}) \\ &= u_{gh.z} u^-_{g;h.z} t_{g;h.z} \cdot u^-_{h;z} t_{h;z} \\ &= u_{gh.z} \cdot u^-_{g;h.z} (t_{g;h.z} u^-_{h;z} t^{-1}_{g;h.z}) \cdot t_{g;h.z} t_{h;z}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Namely, the L-component of $g(hu_z)$ equals $t_{g;h.z} t_{h;z}$.

Now, it follows from (3.6) and (3.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\lambda;e}(g)(\psi_{\lambda;e}(h)\xi) &= \psi_{\lambda;e}(g)(\psi_{\lambda;e}(h)\langle -\lambda, \theta_a \rangle) \\ &= \psi_{\lambda;e}(g)\langle -\lambda, \theta_{hat^{-1}_{h;z}} \rangle \\ &= \langle -\lambda, \theta_{ghat^{-1}_{h;z} t^{-1}_{g;h.z}} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it follows from (3.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\lambda;e}(gh)\xi &= \langle -\lambda, \theta_{(gh)a(t_{g;h.z} t_{h,z})^{-1}} \rangle \\ &= \langle -\lambda, \theta_{ghat^{-1}_{h;z} t^{-1}_{g;h.z}} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □ □

For $(z, \xi) \in T^*U_e$ and $g \in G$ such that $g.z \in U_e$, we define

$$\Psi_{\lambda;e}(g) : T^*U_e \to T^*U_e \quad \text{by} \quad \Psi_{\lambda;e}(g)(z, \xi) := (g.z, \psi_{\lambda;e}(g)\xi). \tag{3.9}$$

Note that $\Psi_{\lambda;e}(g)|_{T^*_z(G/Q)} = \psi_{\lambda;e}(g)$ is a bi-holomorphic map from $T^*_z(G/Q)$ onto $T^*_{g.z}(G/Q)$ for all $z \in U_e$.

Proposition 3.3. *For $(z, \xi) \in T^*U_e$ and $g \in G$ such that $g.z \in U_e$, we have*

$$\mu_{\lambda;e}(\Psi_{\lambda;e}(g)(z, \xi)) = \mathrm{Ad}^*(g)\mu_{\lambda;e}(z, \xi) \tag{3.10}$$

Proof. This is equivalent to the definition of $\psi_{\lambda;e}(g)$, with $g \in G$, as we shall see soon. In fact, the elements of U and U^- corresponding to $\psi_{\lambda;e}(g)\xi$ by $\mu_{\lambda;e}$ are $u_{g.z}$

and $u^-_{g;z;w}$ respectively in the notation of Definition 3.1. Therefore, the left-hand side of (3.10) equals

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Ad}^*(u_{g.z}u^-_{g;z;w})\lambda \\ =&\operatorname{Ad}^*(gu_z u^-_w)\lambda = \operatorname{Ad}^*(g)\operatorname{Ad}^*(u_z u^-_w)\lambda \\ =&\operatorname{Ad}^*(g)\mu_{\lambda;e}(z,\xi). \end{aligned}$$

by (3.1) and (2.18). □ □

Example 3.4 (Example 2.1 continued)**.** Let $G = \mathrm{SL}_{p+q}(\mathbb{C}), Q$ and λ be as in Example 2.1. Then, for $(z,\xi) \in T^*U_e$, let $g = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ be an element of G such that $g.z \in U_e$. If one writes $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & \hat{z} \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right] := u_{g.z}, \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \hat{w} & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right] := u^-_{g;z;w}$ and $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \hat{a} & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{d} \end{smallmatrix}\right] := t_{g;z}$ in the decomposition (3.1), an elementary matrix calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{z} &= (az+b)(cz+d)^{-1} = g.z, \\ \hat{w} &= \big(c + (cz+d)w\big)\big(a - (az+b)(cz+d)^{-1}\big)^{-1}, \\ \hat{a} &= a - (az+b)(cz+d)^{-1}c, \\ \hat{d} &= cz+d. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, one sees

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}\hat{z} &= \mathrm{d}\big((az+b)(cz+d)^{-1}\big) \\ &= \big(a - (az+b)(cz+d)^{-1}c\big)\,\mathrm{d}z(cz+d)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the relation (2.15), i.e., $\hat{\xi} = -s\ {}^t\hat{w}$, one has

$$ {}^t\hat{\xi}\,\mathrm{d}\hat{z} = (cz+d)\,{}^t\xi\,\mathrm{d}z(cz+d)^{-1} - sc\,\mathrm{d}z(cz+d)^{-1}.$$

Taking the trace of the both sides, one obtains (3.4) in this case; in particular, the second term of (3.4) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \lambda, \mathrm{d}t_{g;z}t^{-1}_{g;z}\rangle &= -s\operatorname{tr}\big(c\,\mathrm{d}z(cz+d)^{-1}\big) \\ &= -s\,\mathrm{d}\log\det(cz+d). \end{aligned}$$

Note that (3.5) corresponds to the cocycle condition of the automorphy factor.

3.2. **Global construction.** Recall that the flag variety has the open covering $\{U_\sigma\}_{\sigma\in W/W_\lambda}$, and that each $\pi^{-1}(U_\sigma)$ is bi-holomorphic to $U_\sigma \times \mathbb{C}^n$ with $n = \dim(G/Q)$. If a point $x \in G/Q$ is in $U_\sigma \cap U_\tau$, then it can be written

$$x = \sigma u_{z_\sigma}.e_Q = \tau u_{z_\tau}.e_Q.$$

Therefore, if we take into account (2.15), (2.20) and Proposition 3.3, it is natural from the group-theoretic point of view to glue together the product bundles $\{\pi^{-1}(U_\sigma)\}$ using the transition functions given by $\{\psi_{\lambda;e}(\tau^{-1}\sigma)\}$ as follows (cf. [8]).

In the disjoint union $\bigsqcup_\sigma (U_\sigma \times \mathbb{C}^n)$, let us say that two points $(z_\sigma, \xi_\sigma) \in U_\sigma \times \mathbb{C}^n$ and $(z_\tau, \xi_\tau) \in U_\tau \times \mathbb{C}^n$ are equivalent to each other if and only if

$$\tau u_{z_\tau}.e_Q = \sigma u_{z_\sigma}.e_Q \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_\tau = \psi_{\lambda;e}(\tau^{-1}\sigma)\xi_\sigma, \tag{3.11}$$

in which case we write $(z_\sigma, \xi_\sigma) \sim (z_\tau, \xi_\tau)$. Then we define our twisted cotangent bundle to be the quotient space by this equivalence relation:

$$T^*(G/Q)_\lambda := \bigsqcup_{\sigma \in W/W_\lambda} (U_\sigma \times \mathbb{C}^n) \,/ \sim . \tag{3.12}$$

We denote by $[z_\sigma, \xi_\sigma]$ the equivalence class of $(z_\sigma, \xi_\sigma) \in U_\sigma \times \mathbb{C}^n$ and by ϖ the projection

$$T^*(G/Q)_\lambda \to G/Q, \quad [z_\sigma, \xi_\sigma] \mapsto \sigma u_{z_\sigma}.e_Q.$$

Note that our twisted cotangent bundle is identical to the (usual) cotangent bundle $T^*(G/Q)$ set-theoretically:

$$T^*(G/Q)_\lambda = \bigcup_{x \in G/Q} T^*_x(G/Q),$$

and that a local triviality on $\varpi^{-1}(U_\sigma)$ is given by

$$\varpi^{-1}(U_\sigma) \simeq U_\sigma \times \mathbb{C}^n, \quad [z_\sigma, \xi_\sigma] \mapsto (z_\sigma, \xi_\sigma)$$

for each σ. Thus, our twisted cotangent bundle is locally isomorphic to the cotangent bundle and its transition functions are given in terms of the affine transformations $\psi_{\lambda;e}$ which reduce to the transition functions of the cotangent bundle when $\lambda = 0$.

Remark 3.5. Since the second term of (3.4) is exact, one obtains that $\mathrm{d}(\xi_\sigma \, \mathrm{d}z_\sigma) = \mathrm{d}(\xi_\tau \, \mathrm{d}z_\tau)$ on $\pi^{-1}(U_\sigma \cap U_\tau)$. Therefore, our twisted cotangent bundle possesses a holomorphic symplectic form that is identical to the canonical one on the cotangent bundle $T^*(G/Q)$, which we shall denote by ω.

Definition 3.6. For given $g \in G$ and $[z_\sigma, \xi_\sigma] \in \varpi^{-1}(U_\sigma) \subset T^*(G/Q)_\lambda$, take any $\tau \in W/W_\lambda$ such that $g.z_\sigma \in U_\tau$. Suppose that ξ_σ is written as $\xi_\sigma = \langle -\lambda, \theta_{u_{z_\sigma} u^-_{w_\sigma}} \rangle$ with u_{z_σ} and $u^-_{w_\sigma}$ being the unique elements of U and U^- determined by (2.20). Then we define a cotangent vector $\psi_\lambda(g)\xi_\sigma \in T^*_{g.z_\sigma}(G/Q)$ by

$$\psi_\lambda(g)\xi_\sigma := \psi_{\lambda;e}(\tau^{-1} g \sigma) \langle -\lambda, \theta_{u_{z_\sigma} u^-_{w_\sigma}} \rangle \tag{3.13}$$

and a holomorphic map $\Psi_\lambda(g)$ from $T^*(G/Q)_\lambda$ to itself by

$$\Psi_\lambda(g)[z_\sigma, \xi_\sigma] := [g.z_\sigma, \psi_\lambda(g)\xi_\sigma]. \tag{3.14}$$

Lemma 3.7. *The map Ψ_λ is well defined, i.e., it is independent of the choices of σ and τ in* (3.13) *above. Furthermore, we have*

$$\Psi_\lambda(g)\Psi_\lambda(h) = \Psi_\lambda(gh) \tag{3.15}$$

for all $g, h \in G$. Namely, G acts on the twisted cotangent bundle $T^*(G/Q)_\lambda$ through Ψ_λ.

Proof. Suppose that $[z_\sigma, \xi_\sigma] = [z_{\hat\sigma}, \xi_{\hat\sigma}]$ and take another $\hat\tau$ such that $g.z_{\hat\sigma} \in U_{\hat\tau}$. Then, by definition, one has

$$\xi_{\hat\sigma} = \psi_{\lambda;e}(\hat\sigma^{-1}\sigma)\xi_\sigma.$$

It suffices to show that

$$\psi_{\lambda;e}(\hat\tau^{-1} g \hat\sigma)\xi_{\hat\sigma} = \psi_{\lambda;e}(\hat\tau^{-1}\tau)\psi_{\lambda;e}(\tau^{-1} g \sigma)\xi_\sigma.$$

Now one sees

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{\lambda;e}(\hat\tau^{-1}g\hat\sigma)\xi_{\hat\sigma} &= \psi_{\lambda;e}(\hat\tau^{-1}g\hat\sigma)\psi_{\lambda;e}(\hat\sigma^{-1}\sigma)\xi_\sigma\\ &= \psi_{\lambda;e}(\hat\tau^{-1}g\sigma)\xi_\sigma\\ &= \psi_{\lambda;e}(\hat\tau^{-1}\tau)\psi_{\lambda;e}(\tau^{-1}g\sigma)\xi_\sigma\end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 3.2. The second assertion also follows from Proposition 3.2. □ □

Since $\varpi^{-1}(U_\sigma)=\pi^{-1}(U_\sigma)$, one can define $\mu_{\lambda;\sigma}:\varpi^{-1}(U_\sigma)\to\mathit{\Omega}_\lambda$ by the same formula as (2.18) for each $\sigma\in W/W_\lambda$:

$$\mu_{\lambda;\sigma}:\varpi^{-1}(U_\sigma)\to\mathit{\Omega}_\lambda,\quad [z_\sigma,\xi_\sigma]\mapsto \mathrm{Ad}^*(\sigma u_{z_\sigma}u^-_{w_\sigma})\lambda, \tag{3.16}$$

where $u_{z_\sigma}\in U$ and $u^-_{w_\sigma}\in U^-$ are determined by (z_σ,ξ_σ) as in (2.15).

Proposition 3.8. *The local isomorphisms $\{\mu_{\lambda;\sigma}\}_{\sigma\in W/W_\lambda}$ satisfy the compatibility condition*

$$\mu_{\lambda;\sigma}|_{\varpi^{-1}(U_\sigma\cap U_\tau)}=\mu_{\lambda;\tau}|_{\varpi^{-1}(U_\sigma\cap U_\tau)}\quad(\sigma,\tau\in W/W_\lambda). \tag{3.17}$$

Thus we can define a globally defined bi-holomorphic map

$$\mu_\lambda:T^*(G/Q)_\lambda\to\mathit{\Omega}_\lambda\quad\text{by}\quad \mu_\lambda|_{\varpi^{-1}(U_\sigma)}:=\mu_{\lambda;\sigma}. \tag{3.18}$$

Furthermore this map is G-equivariant, i,e, we have

$$\mu_\lambda\circ\Psi_\lambda(g)=\mathrm{Ad}^*(g)\circ\mu_\lambda \tag{3.19}$$

for all $g\in G$.

Proof. Suppose that a point of $\varpi^{-1}(U_\sigma\cap U_\tau)$ is expressed in two ways:

$$[z_\sigma,\xi_\sigma]=[z_\tau,\xi_\tau]\in\varpi^{-1}(U_\sigma\cap U_\tau),$$

where we regard $[z_\sigma,\xi_\sigma]\in\varpi^{-1}(U_\sigma)$ and $[z_\tau,\xi_\tau]\in\varpi^{-1}(U_\tau)$. Let $u_{z_\sigma},u_{z_\tau}\in U$ and $u^-_{w_\sigma},u^-_{w_\tau}\in U^-$ satisfy

$$\xi_\sigma=\langle-\lambda,\theta_{u_\sigma u^-_\sigma}\rangle\quad\text{and}\quad \xi_\tau=\langle-\lambda,\theta_{u_\tau u^-_\tau}\rangle$$

as in (2.20) (we shall abbreviate $u_\sigma:=u_{z_\sigma}, u^-_\sigma:=u^-_{w_\sigma}$ etc. until the end of the proof). Then by the definition of the equivalence relation (3.11), we have

$$\sigma^{-1}\tau u_\tau u^-_\tau=u_\sigma u^-_\sigma t$$

for some $t\in L=G(\lambda)$. Therefore, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{\lambda;\tau}([z_\tau,\xi_\tau]) &= \mathrm{Ad}^*(\tau u_\tau u^-_\tau)\lambda=\mathrm{Ad}^*(\sigma u_\sigma u^-_\sigma t)\lambda\\ &= \mathrm{Ad}^*(\sigma u_\sigma u^-_\sigma)\lambda\\ &= \mu_{\lambda;\sigma}([z_\sigma,\xi_\sigma]).\end{aligned}$$

Next, for $g\in G$ and $[z_\sigma,\xi_\sigma]\in\varpi^{-1}(U_\sigma)$, take $\hat\sigma\in W/W_\lambda$ satisfying $g.z_\sigma\in U_{\hat\sigma}$. Since $\hat\sigma^{-1}g\sigma u_\sigma u^-_\sigma$ is in UU^-L, it decomposes, say,

$$\hat\sigma^{-1}g\sigma u_\sigma u^-_\sigma=u_1u^-_1t_1\quad\text{with}\quad u_1\in U, u^-_1\in U^-, t_1\in L.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_\lambda(g)\xi_\sigma &= \psi_{\lambda;e}(\hat\sigma^{-1}g\sigma)\xi_\sigma \\ &= \psi_{\lambda;e}(\hat\sigma^{-1}g\sigma)\langle -\lambda, \theta_{u_\sigma u_\sigma^-}\rangle \\ &= \langle -\lambda, \theta_{\hat\sigma^{-1}g\sigma u_\sigma u_\sigma^- t_1^{-1}}\rangle\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_\lambda(\Psi_\lambda(g)[z_\sigma, \xi_\sigma]) &= \mu_{\lambda;\hat\sigma}([g.z_\sigma, \psi_\lambda(g)\xi_\sigma]) \\ &= \operatorname{Ad}^*(\hat\sigma)\operatorname{Ad}^*(\hat\sigma^{-1}g\sigma u_\sigma u_\sigma^- t_1^{-1})\lambda \\ &= \operatorname{Ad}^*(g)\mu_\lambda([z_\sigma, \xi_\sigma]).\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □ □

Example 3.9. Let us consider the case where $p = q = 1$ in Example 2.1, i.e., $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, $Q = \left\{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a & 0 \\ c & a^{-1}\end{smallmatrix}\right] \in G\right\}$, the Borel subgroup of G, and

$$\lambda : Q \to \mathbb{C}^\times, \quad \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ c & a^{-1}\end{bmatrix} \mapsto a^s.$$

The flag variety G/Q is identified with the complex projective line $\mathbb{CP}^1$. Under this identification, the open covering $\{U_e, U_\sigma\}$ (with $\sigma = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{smallmatrix}\right]$) is given by

$$U_e = \{(z:1) \in \mathbb{CP}^1; z \in \mathbb{C}\} \simeq \mathbb{C}, \quad U_\sigma = \{(1:z_\sigma) \in \mathbb{CP}^1; z_\sigma \in \mathbb{C}\} \simeq \mathbb{C}.$$

For $[z, \xi] \in \varpi^{-1}(U_e)$ and $[z_\sigma, \xi_\sigma] \in \varpi^{-1}(U_\sigma)$, let $u_z, u_{z_\sigma} \in U$ and $u_w^-, u_{w_\sigma}^- \in U^-$ satisfy $\xi = -sw$, $\xi_\sigma = -sw_\sigma$ as in (2.15). If $[z_\sigma, \xi_\sigma] = [z, \xi]$, then one sees

$$z_\sigma = -\frac{1}{z}, \quad w_\sigma = z^2 w + z \tag{3.20}$$

since $\xi_\sigma = \psi_{\lambda;e}(\sigma^{-1})\xi = z^2\xi - sz$.

Denoting the maps $\mu_{\lambda;e}$ and $\mu_{\lambda;\sigma}$ followed by the isomorphism $\mathfrak{g}^* \simeq \mathfrak{g}$ via the trace form by the same notations, one obtains

$$\mu_{\lambda;e}([z, \xi]) = \frac{s}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 1 + 2zw & -2z(1 + zw) \\ 2w & -(1 + 2zw)\end{bmatrix} \tag{3.21}$$

and

$$\mu_{\lambda;\sigma}([z_\sigma, \xi_\sigma]) = \frac{s}{2}\begin{bmatrix} -(1 + 2z_\sigma w_\sigma) & -2w_\sigma \\ 2z_\sigma(1 + z_\sigma w_\sigma) & 1 + 2z_\sigma w_\sigma\end{bmatrix},$$

which, under the relation (3.20), coincide with each other.

3.3. **Symplectomorphism.** We next prove that the map $\mu_\lambda : T^*(G/Q)_\lambda \to \varOmega_\lambda$ is symplectic. Let ω and ω_λ denote the canonical G-invariant holomorphic symplectic forms on $T^*(G/Q)_\lambda$ and $\varOmega_\lambda$ respectively. Recall that ω is defined by

$$\omega_{[z_\sigma, \xi_\sigma]} = -\,\mathrm{d}(\xi_\sigma\,\mathrm{d}z_\sigma) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{u})} \mathrm{d}{z_\sigma}^\alpha \wedge \mathrm{d}\xi_{\sigma\alpha} \tag{3.22}$$

if $[z_\sigma, \xi_\sigma] \in \varpi^{-1}(U_\sigma) \subset T^*(G/Q)_\lambda$ (cf. Remark 3.5), and that ω_λ is defined by

$$(\omega_\lambda)_f(X_{\varOmega_\lambda}, Y_{\varOmega_\lambda}) = -\langle f, [X, Y]\rangle \quad (f \in \varOmega_\lambda; X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}), \tag{3.23}$$

where $X_{\Omega_\lambda}, Y_{\Omega_\lambda}$ are the vector fields on Ω_λ generated by $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ respectively that are defined by (2.3) (see [1]).

Proposition 3.10. *Let ω and ω_λ be the canonical symplectic forms on $T^*(G/Q)_\lambda$ and Ω_λ respectively. Then μ_λ preserves the symplectic forms:*

$$\mu_\lambda^* \omega_\lambda = \omega. \tag{3.24}$$

Proof. It suffices to show the equality (3.24) on the dense open subset $\varpi^{-1}(U_e)$. For $[z, \xi] \in \varpi^{-1}(U_e)$, we put $g := u_z u_w^-$, where $u_z \in U$ and $u_w^- \in U^-$ are determined by (2.15). Then it follows from (2.20) that

$$\omega = \langle \lambda, \mathrm{d}\theta_g \rangle = -\langle \lambda, \theta_g \wedge \theta_g \rangle \tag{3.25}$$

since $\mathrm{d}\theta_g = \mathrm{d}(g^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}g) = -g^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}g \wedge g^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}g = -\theta_g \wedge \theta_g$. Thus, if we show that

$$(\omega_\lambda)_{g.\lambda}(X_{\Omega_\lambda}, Y_{\Omega_\lambda}) = -\langle \lambda, (\theta_{g.\lambda} \wedge \theta_{g.\lambda})(X_{\Omega_\lambda}, Y_{\Omega_\lambda}) \rangle$$

for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, then we will be done. Here, we set $g.\lambda = \mathrm{Ad}^*(g)\lambda$ for brevity, and denoted by $\theta_{g.\lambda}$ the pull-back of the 1-form θ by the local section

$$g : \Omega_\lambda|_{\mu_\lambda(\varpi^{-1}(U_e))} \to G, \quad g.\lambda \mapsto g$$

with $g = u_z u_w^-$.

Now, for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\Omega_\lambda}(g.\lambda) &= \frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} \exp(-tX).(g.\lambda) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} g \exp(-t\,\mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1})X).\lambda \\ &= g_*(\mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1})X)_{\Omega_\lambda}(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{g.\lambda}(X_{\Omega_\lambda}) &= \theta_{g.\lambda}(g_*(\mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1})X)_{\Omega_\lambda}) = (g^*\theta)_\lambda((\mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1})X)_{\Omega_\lambda}) \\ &= \theta_\lambda((\mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1})X)_{\Omega_\lambda}) \\ &= -\mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1})X \end{aligned}$$

since $g^*\theta = \theta$ and $\theta_\lambda(Z_{\Omega_\lambda}) = -Z$ for $Z \in \mathfrak{g}$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\langle \lambda, (\theta_{g.\lambda} \wedge \theta_{g.\lambda})(X_{\Omega_\lambda}, Y_{\Omega_\lambda}) \rangle &= -\langle \lambda, [\theta_{g.\lambda}(X_{\Omega_\lambda}), \theta_{g.\lambda}(Y_{\Omega_\lambda})] \rangle \\ &= -\langle \lambda, [\mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1})X, \mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1})Y] \rangle \\ &= -\langle \mathrm{Ad}^*(g)\lambda, [X, Y] \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which equals $(\omega_\lambda)_{g.\lambda}(X_{\Omega_\lambda}, Y_{\Omega_\lambda})$ by definition. □ □

Example 3.11. We let $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}), Q$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ be as in Example 3.9, and still identify $\mathfrak{g}^*$ with $\mathfrak{g}$ by the trace form. If we parametrize an element $f \in \Omega_\lambda \subset \mathfrak{g}$ as $f = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & -a \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ with a, b and $c \in \mathbb{C}$, then it is easy to show that the canonical symplectic form ω_λ on Ω_λ is given by

$$\omega_\lambda = \frac{2}{s^2}\left(a\,\mathrm{d}b \wedge \mathrm{d}c - b\,\mathrm{d}a \wedge \mathrm{d}c + c\,\mathrm{d}a \wedge \mathrm{d}b\right).$$

If $f = \mathrm{Ad}(g)\lambda^\vee$ with $g = u_z u_w^- t \in p^{-1}(U_e) = UU^-L$ and if we write u_z and u_w^- as

$$u_z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \; u_w^- = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ w & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (z, w \in \mathbb{C}),$$

then we find that

$$\omega_\lambda = -s \, \mathrm{d}z \wedge \mathrm{d}w = \langle -\lambda, \theta_g \wedge \theta_g \rangle.$$

Now, for $[z, \xi] \in U_e$, if $f = \mu_\lambda([z, \xi]) = \mathrm{Ad}(u_z u_w^-)\lambda^\vee$, i.e., ξ and w are related by $\xi = -sw$ as in Lemma 2.3 (see also (2.8)), then it is immediate to see that

$$\mu_\lambda^* \omega_\lambda = \omega.$$

Summing up the results obtained so far, we have established the following.

Theorem 3.12. *Let $T^*(G/Q)_\lambda$ be the twisted holomorphic cotangent bundle of the generalized flag variety, and Ω_λ the coadjoint G-orbit of λ. Then the map $\mu_\lambda : T^*(G/Q)_\lambda \to \Omega_\lambda$ defined by* (3.16) *and* (3.18) *gives a G-equivariant holomorphic symplectic isomorphism.*

References

[1] Berline, N., Getzler, E., Vernge, M.: Heat kernels and Dirac operators. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 298. Springer-Verlag (1992)

[2] Hashimoto, T.: On the principal symbols of $K_{\mathbb{C}}$-invariant differential operators on Hermitian symmetric spaces. J. Math. Soc. Japan **63**, 837–869 (2011). ArXiv:0804.4038 [math.RT]

[3] Hashimoto, T., Ogura, K., Okamoto, K., Sawae, R.: Borel-Weil theory and Feynman path integrals on flag manifolds. Hiroshima Math. J. **23**, 231–247 (1993)

[4] Howe, R., Umeda, T.: The Capelli identity, the double commutant theorem, and multiplicity-free actions. Math. Ann. **290**, 565–619 (1991)

[5] McDuff, D., Salamon, D.: Introduction to symplectic toplogy. Oxford Univ. Press (1995)

[6] Rossmann, W.: Invariant eigendistributions on a semisimple Lie algebra and homology classes on the conormal variety I, II. J. Funct. Anal. **96**, 130–154, 155–193 (1991)

[7] Schmid, W., Vilonen, K.: Characteristic cycles of constructible sheaves. Invent. Math. **124**, 451–502 (1996)

[8] Steenrod, N.: The topology of fibre bundles. Princeton Univ. Press (1951)

UNIVERSITY EDUCATION CENTER, TOTTORI UNIVERSITY, 4-101, KOYAMA-MINAMI, TOTTORI, 680-8550, JAPAN

E-mail address: `thashi@uec.tottori-u.ac.jp`